IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

COMPANY APPLICATION NO.387 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

Lata D. Pawar — Applicant
versus
The Official Liquidator — Respondent

ALONG WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.388 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

Parvatibai Shankar Gadge — Applicant
versus
The Official Liquidator — Respondent

ALONG WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.389 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

Jagannath S. Yadav — Applicant
versus
The Official Liquidator — Respondent

ALONG WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.390 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

Jiyachidevi B. Gupta — Applicant
versus
The Official Liquidator — Respondent

ALONG WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.391 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

Moti M. Rajbhar — Applicant

versus
The Official Liquidator Respondent

ALONG WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.392 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

M.T.Sharma Applicant
versus
The Official Liquidator Respondent

ALONG WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.393 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

Balu N. Walunj Applicant
versus
The Official Liquidator Respondent

ALONG WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.394 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

Samuel Kolipillai Applicant
versus
The Official Liquidator Respondent

ALONG WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.395 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.7 OF 1984

K.B.Ghosh Applicant
versus
The Official Liquidator Respondent

Mr.B.G.Saraf for applicants.
Ms.K.V.Gautam, Acting O.L. present.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 28th July 2006

PC :

1. For the reasons set out in the accompanying affidavit, delay in filing the claim before the Official Liquidator is condoned. The Official Liquidator to adjudicate the claims on merits and in accordance with law expeditiously. No order as to costs.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)